February 7, 2019

Ms. Aisling Kerr, Assistant Project Manager  
Boston Planning and Development Agency  
One City Hall Square  
Boston, MA 02210

Re: 121 Brookside Avenue  
Small Project Review Application

Dear Ms. Kerr:

The Boston Water and Sewer Commission (Commission) has reviewed the Small Project Review Application (SPRA) for the proposed redevelopment project located at 121 Brookside Avenue in the Jamaica Plain neighborhood of Boston. The Commission’s comments on the SPRA are included in this letter.

The project proposed by 121 Brookside Avenue LLC will be built on two parcels of land totaling approximately 8,679 square feet (sf). The site currently is a paved parking lot. The project proponent proposes to construct a four story, twenty-one unit condominium building containing 26,232 gsf. The ground floor will have two artist live and work condominiums and a parking garage for 11 vehicles.

For water service, the Commission owns and maintains a 12-inch cement lined ductile iron water main in Brookside Avenue. The water main was installed in 1987 and is part of the Commission’s Southern High Pressure Zone.

For sewer and drain service, the Commission owns and maintains two combined sewers in Brookside Avenue. The combined sewers are parallel in Brookside Avenue and at the northeast boundary of the project site, both sewers change direction and proceed across the parking lot of the adjacent parcel in an easement granted to the Commission. The larger combined sewer is a 204-inch by 186-inch conduit known as the Stony Brook Conduit. The other combined sewer is 24-inch by 42-inch is the West Roxbury Low Level Sewer. Both sewers were constructed as one structure. Commission records also show a 10-inch sewer in the easement that was installed in 1897. The sewer may have been installed to serve a building that has since been demolished.

Water usage and sewerage generation estimates were not provided in the SPRA.

The Commission has the following comments regarding the proposed project.
General

1. The Boston Prior to the initial phase of the site plan development, 121 Brookside Avenue LLC, should meet with the Commission’s Design and Engineering Customer Services to review water main, sewer and storm drainage system availability and potential upgrades that could impact the development.

2. All new or relocated water mains, sewers and storm drains must be designed and constructed at 121 Brookside Avenue LLC’s, expense. They must be designed and constructed in conformance with the Commission’s design standards, Water Distribution System and Sewer Use regulations, and Requirements for Site Plans. The site plan should include the locations of new, relocated and existing water mains, sewers and drains which serve the site, proposed service connections, water meter locations, as well as back flow prevention devices in the facilities that will require inspection. A General Service Application must also be submitted to the Commission with the site plan.

3. The Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), in cooperation with the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority and its member communities, is implementing a coordinated approach to flow control in the MWRA regional wastewater system, particularly the removal of extraneous clean water (e.g., infiltration/inflow (I/I)) in the system. In April of 2014, the Massachusetts DEP promulgated new regulations regarding wastewater. The Commission has a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for its combined sewer overflows and is subject to these new regulations [314 CMR 12.00, section 12.04(2)(d)]. This section requires all new sewer connections with design flows exceeding 15,000 gpd to mitigate the impacts of the development by removing four gallons of infiltration and inflow (I/I) for each new gallon of wastewater flow. In this regard, any new connection or expansion of an existing connection that exceeds 15,000 gallons per day of wastewater shall assist in the I/I reduction effort to ensure that the additional wastewater flows are offset by the removal of I/I. Currently, a minimum ratio of 4:1 for I/I removal to new wastewater flow added is used. The Commission supports the policy and will require proponent to develop a consistent inflow reduction plan. The 4:1 requirement should be addressed at least 90 days prior to activation of water service and will be based on the estimated sewage generation provided on the project site plan.

4. The design of the project should comply with the City of Boston’s Complete Streets Initiative, which requires incorporation of “green infrastructure” into street designs. Green infrastructure includes greenscapes, such as trees, shrubs, grasses and other landscape plantings, as well as rain gardens and vegetative swales, infiltration basins, and paving materials and permeable surfaces. The proponent must develop a maintenance plan for the proposed green infrastructure. For more information on the Complete Streets Initiative see the City’s website at http://bostoncompletestreets.org/.
5. The water use and sewage generation estimates were not stated in the SPRA. The Commission requires that these values be calculated and submitted with the Site Plan. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC should provide separate estimates of peak and continuous maximum water demand for residential, irrigation and air-conditioning make-up water for the project. Estimates should be based on full-site build-out of the proposed project. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC should also provide the methodology used to estimate water demand for the proposed project.

6. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC is advised that the Commission will not allow buildings to be constructed over any of its water lines. Also, any plans to build over Commission sewer facilities are subject to review and approval by the Commission. The project must be designed so that access, including vehicular access, to the Commission’s water and sewer lines for the purpose of operation and maintenance is not inhibited.

7. The Commission will require 121 Brookside Avenue LLC to undertake all necessary precautions to prevent damage or disruption of the existing active water and sewer lines on, or adjacent to, the project site during construction. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC shall, deploy and maintain vibration monitors during construction in accordance with Commission requirements.

8. It is 121 Brookside Avenue LLC’s responsibility to evaluate the capacity of the water, sewer and storm drain systems serving the project site to determine if the systems are adequate to meet future project demands. With the site plan, must include a detailed capacity analysis for the water, sewer and storm drain systems serving the project site, as well as an analysis of the impacts the proposed project will have on the Commission’s water, sewer and storm drainage systems.

Water

1. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC should explore opportunities for implementing water conservation measures in addition to those required by the State Plumbing Code. In particular, 121 Brookside Avenue LLC should consider outdoor landscaping which requires minimal use of water to maintain. If 121 Brookside Avenue LLC plans to install in-ground sprinkler systems, the Commission recommends that timers, soil moisture indicators and rainfall sensors be installed. The use of sensor-operated faucets and toilets in common areas of buildings should be considered.

2. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC is required to obtain a Hydrant Permit for use of any hydrant during the construction phase of this project. The water used from the hydrant must be metered. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC should contact the Commission’s Meter Department for information on and to obtain a Hydrant Permit.
3. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC will be required to install approved backflow prevention devices on the water services for fire protection, mechanical equipment and any irrigation systems. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC is advised to consult with Mr. James Florentino, Manager of Engineering Code Enforcement, with regards to backflow prevention.

4. The Commission is utilizing a Fixed Radio Meter Reading System to obtain water meter readings. For new water meters, the Commission will provide a Meter Transmitter Unit (MTU) and connect the device to the meter. For information regarding the installation of MTUs, 121 Brookside Avenue LLC should contact the Commission’s Meter Department.

**Sewage / Drainage**

1. The Stony Brook Culvert and West Roxbury Low Level Sewer are in an easement that abuts the northeast corner of the project site. Any excavation to a depth of five feet or more and within 10 feet of the Commission’s easement must be supported in accordance with the Commission’s requirement. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC will be required to engage a geotechnical engineer to design and monitor the construction of the trench support system. Design of the trench support system must be submitted to the Commission for approval with the site plan. Pre-construction CCTV inspection videos of both sewers must be submitted to and reviewed with the Commission prior to approval of the site plan. After construction is complete, a post construction CCTV inspection video must be submitted to the Commission. The CCTV inspection videos must meet Commission standards.

2. Wastewater generated from the proposed project must be routed to the West Roxbury Low Level Sewer. Stormwater that can’t be infiltration on-site must be routed to the Stony Brook Conduit.

3. A Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for Nutrients has been established for the Lower Charles River Watershed by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP). In order to achieve the reductions in Phosphorus loading required by the TMDL, phosphorus concentrations in the lower Charles River from Boston must be reduced by 64%. To accomplish the necessary reductions in phosphorus, the Commission is requiring developers in the lower Charles River watershed to infiltrate stormwater discharging from impervious areas in compliance with MassDEP. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC will be required to submit with the site plan a phosphorus reduction plan for the proposed development.

In conjunction with the Site Plan and the General Service Application the 121 Brookside Avenue LLC will be required to submit a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan. The plan must:
• Identify best management practices for controlling erosion and for preventing the
discharge of sediment and contaminated groundwater or stormwater runoff to the
Commission’s drainage system when the construction is underway.

• Include a site map which shows, at a minimum, existing drainage patterns and areas
used for storage or treatment of contaminated soils, groundwater or stormwater, and
the location of major control or treatment structures to be utilized during construction.

• Provide a stormwater management plan in compliance with the DEP standards
mentioned above. The plan should include a description of the measures to control
pollutants after construction is completed.

4. The Commission encourages 121 Brookside Avenue LLC to explore additional
opportunities for protecting stormwater quality on site by minimizing sanding and the use
of deicing chemicals, pesticides, and fertilizers.

5. The discharge of dewatering drainage to a sanitary sewer is prohibited by the
Commission. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC is advised that the discharge of any dewatering
drainage to the storm drainage system requires a Drainage Discharge Permit from the
Commission. If the dewatering drainage is contaminated with petroleum products, 121
Brookside Avenue LLC will be required to obtain a Remediation General Permit from
the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the discharge.

6. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC must fully investigate methods for retaining stormwater on-
site before the Commission will consider a request to discharge stormwater to the
Commission’s system. The site plan should indicate how storm drainage from roof drains
will be handled and the feasibility of retaining their stormwater discharge on-site. Under
no circumstances will stormwater be allowed to discharge to a sanitary sewer.

7. Sanitary sewage must be kept separate from stormwater and separate sanitary sewer and
storm drain service connections must be provided. The Commission requires that existing
stormwater and sanitary sewer service connections, which are to be re-used by the
proposed project, be dye tested to confirm they are connected to the appropriate system.

8. The Commission requests that 121 Brookside Avenue LLC install a permanent casting
stating “Don’t Dump: Drains to Charles River” next to any catch basin created or
modified as part of this project. 121 Brookside Avenue LLC should contact the
Commission’s Operations Division for information regarding the purchase of the
castings.

9. The enclosed floors of a parking garage must drain through oil separators into the sewer
system in accordance with the Commission’s Sewer Use Regulations. The Commission’s
Requirements for Site Plans, available by contacting the Engineering Services Department, include requirements for separators.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project.

Yours truly,

John P. Sullivan, P.E.
Chief Engineer

JPS/RJA

cc: S. Johnson, 121 Brookside Avenue, LLC
    M. Zlody, BED by e-mail
    M. Nelson, BWSC by e-mail
    P. Larocque, BWSC by e-mail
    K. Ronan, MWRA by e-mail
To:          Aisling Kerr, BPDA
From:        Zachary Wassmouth, PWD
Date:        February 4, 2019
Subject: 121 Brookside Avenue SPRA - Boston Public Works Department Comments

Included here are Boston Public Works Department comments for the 121 Brookside Avenue SPRA.

Site Plan:
The developer must provide an engineer’s site plan at an appropriate engineering scale that shows curb functionality on both sides of all streets that abut the property.

Construction Within The Public ROW:
All proposed design and construction within the Public ROW shall conform to Boston Public Works Department (PWD) Design Standards. Any non-standard materials (i.e. pavers, landscaping, bike racks, etc.) proposed within the Public ROW will require approval through the Public Improvement Commission (PIC) process and a fully executed License, Maintenance and Indemnification (LM&I) Agreement with the PIC.

Sidewalks:
The developer is responsible for the reconstruction of the sidewalks abutting the project and, wherever possible, to extend the limits to the nearest intersection to encourage and compliment pedestrian improvements and travel along all sidewalks within the ROW within and beyond the project limits. The reconstruction effort also must meet current American’s with Disabilities Act (ADA)/ Massachusetts Architectural Access Board (AAB) guidelines, including the installation of new or reconstruction of existing pedestrian ramps at all corners of all intersections. Plans showing the extents of the proposed sidewalk improvements associated with this project must be submitted to the Public Works Department (PWD) Engineering Division for review and approval.

The developer is encouraged to contact the City’s Disabilities Commission to confirm compliant accessibility within the Public ROW.

Driveway Curb Cuts:
Any proposed driveway curb cuts will need to be reviewed and approved by the PIC.

Discontinuances:
Any and all discontinuances (sub-surface, surface or above surface) within the Public ROW must be processed through the PIC.

Easements:
Any and all easements associated with this project must be processed through the PIC.

Landscaping:
Developer must seek approval from the Chief Landscape Architect with the Parks and Recreation Department for all landscape elements within the Public ROW. Program must accompany a LM&I with the PIC.
Street Lighting:
Developer must seek approval from the PWD Street Lighting Division, where needed, for all proposed street lighting to be installed by the developer, and must be consistent with the area lighting to provide a consistent urban design. The developer should coordinate with the PWD Street Lighting Division for an assessment of any street lighting upgrades that can be considered in conjunction with this project. All existing metal street light pull box covers within the limits of sidewalk construction to remain shall be replaced with new composite covers per PWD Street Lighting standards. Metal covers should remain for pull box covers in the roadway.

Roadway:
Based on the extent of construction activity, including utility connections and taps, the developer will be responsible for the full restoration of the roadway sections that immediately abut the property and, in some cases, to extend the limits of roadway restoration to the nearest intersection. A plan showing the extents and methods for roadway restoration shall be submitted to the PWD Engineering Division for review and approval.

Project Coordination:
All projects must be entered into the City of Boston Utility Coordination Software (COBUCS) to review for any conflicts with other proposed projects within the Public ROW. The Developer must coordinate with any existing projects within the same limits and receive clearance from PWD before commencing work.

Green Infrastructure:
The Developer shall work with PWD and the Boston Water and Sewer Commission (BWSC) to determine appropriate methods of green infrastructure and/or stormwater management systems within the Public ROW. The ongoing maintenance of such systems shall require an LM&I Agreement with the PIC.

Please note that these are the general standard and somewhat specific PWD requirements applicable to every project, more detailed comments may follow and will be addressed during the PIC review process.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at zachary.wassmouth@boston.gov or at 617-635-4953.

Sincerely,

Zachary Wassmouth
Chief Design Engineer
Boston Public Works Department
Engineering Division

CC: Para Jayasinghe, PWD
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Opinion</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/31/2019</td>
<td>Zach</td>
<td>DeClerck</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>I am in full support of this much needed transit-oriented development and appreciate the developer's accommodations related to JP/ROX. I hope that this project moves forward swiftly, does not decrease in number of residential units, and does not increase in the current parking allotment, which I feel is already high for a property so close to Green St. Station and the SWCP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/18/2019</td>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Orthman</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>I write in strong support of this proposal. This is transit-oriented housing literally across the street from the Orange Line. The inclusion of artists housing is an added bonus. I do not live close to the site but new housing like this takes pressure of the market in southern Boston where I live. I hope the parking spots are not deeded to the units so as to not encourage car ownership for people who do not otherwise need one and want to live there. Please approve this development. Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16/2019</td>
<td>Elise</td>
<td>Harmon</td>
<td></td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>I would like to register my support of the project!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
February 27, 2019

Brian Golden  
Director, Boston Planning & Development Agency  
City Hall, 9th Floor  
Boston, MA 02201

Re: 121 Brookside Ave., Jamaica Plain

Dear Director Golden,

The Jamaica Plain Neighborhood Council reviewed the current proposal for 121 Brookside Ave. This proposal is in the Plan JP/Rox area, and is for residential multifamily housing on two parcels currently zoned for light industrial. While we support the change to residential use, the project needs to increase affordability and should follow the guidelines in Plan JP/Rox for residential setbacks.

The proposal is for a 4-story building plus roof deck. The building will include 23 condo units comprised of 21 studios, 1-, and 2-bedroom units and 2 artist live-work units. The first-floor garage has parking for 11 cars and 8 bikes.

Here are our comments, including reference to Plan JP/Rox where applicable:

We support:
- use of this parcel for residential use and the increased density up from the developer’s original proposal for 9 units;
- inclusion of artist live-work space;
- low parking ratio for this building so close to Green St. Station.

We request:
- increase in number of affordable units;  
- lower AMI for affordable units;  
- building adherence to residential setbacks.

We note the developer’s engagement with the Brookside Neighborhood Association, which resulted in some positive changes to his original proposal.
We ask that the project increase affordability in its number of affordable units and in its AMI limits. JPNC guidelines require that \(25\%\) of a project’s units be affordable; close to 6 units here. Plan JP/Rox guideline for density bonus projects requires 5 affordable units. The developer proposes 4 affordable units: the 2 artist live-work spaces would be affordable, plus 2 other units. By counting the square footage in these 4 units (the artist live-work are somewhat larger), he believes he meets the requirement.

JPNC request that units be affordable at an average of \(65\%\) AMI. Plan JP/Rox AMI guidelines call for an average of \(50\%\) AMI for rentals; it’s unclear what the guidelines are for ownership units. The developer proposes the 4 units be affordable at \(80-100\%\) AMI.

We ask that the project increase the affordability by both increasing the number of affordable units and by lowering the AMI.

We ask that the project follow the setbacks for multifamily residential zoning. Plan JP/Rox includes setback guidelines for new residential uses: front setbacks are 15’, side setbacks are 10’, rear setbacks are 20’. This project does not meet these setbacks. These setbacks are important to creating a residential feel, and in allowing future new buildings on other lots to have adequate setbacks.

Sincerely,

Kevin Rainsford, Chair
Jamaica Plain Neighborhood Council

Cc: Scott Johnson, Developer
    Sen. Chang-Diaz
    Rep. Malia
    Councilor Essaibi-George
    Councilor Flaherty
    Councilor Garrison
    Councilor Wu
    Councilor O’Malley
    Aisling Kerr, BPDA Project Manager
    Alexandra Valdez, Office of Neighborhood Services
    Diane Simpson, Brookside Neighborhood Association,
    Scott Shear, Brookside Neighborhood Association
Plan JP/Rox
Recommendations & Guidelines
Relevant to 121 Brookside Ave.

---

1 Density Bonus Incentive

A density bonus is where a developer opts to incorporate public benefits into a project, such as affordable housing units, and in exchange is allowed to create additional density in a development. As new housing is built in JP/ROX, the community's stated preference is to capture as much of the benefits of this new investment as possible and turn it into affordable housing. The outcome is that each project that opts to seek a density bonus will result in additional affordable units, with a base affordability of 13% at 70% of AMI, plus an additional set-aside at an average of 50% of AMI. Developers would be allowed and actively encouraged to create units from the density bonus at up to three income tiers, with a minimum of 30% of AMI and a maximum of 70% of AMI, and an average of 50% of AMI.

P. 52-53

2 Setbacks

Front Setback - varied by area character:
1. Residential: 10’ to 15’ to allow landscaping and buffer ground floor residential uses.
2. Local Retail / Commercial: 0’ to 15’ to allow for both residential and retail uses including outdoor seating and unique conditions.
3. Main Street / Active Commercial: 0’ to 10’ to allow for out-door seating.

Side Yard and Rear Yard Setbacks - varied by area character:
1. Residential: Side 10’ / Rear 20’.
2. Local Retail / Commercial (a): Side 0’ / Rear 10’ to 20’.
3. Main Street / Active Commercial (a): Side 0’ / Rear 10’ to 20’.

P. 140
Dear Ms Kerr,

Thank you for forwarding this information from Tim Davis confirming that BPDA has made the determination that the affordability levels proposed for the Scott Johnson's project at 121 Brookside do meet the Plan JP Rox affordability guidelines for both Boston's Inclusion and Density Bonus Programs (per email string below).

The Brookside Neighborhood Association (BNA) met with Scott Johnson on 3 occasions. At the last meeting on July 25th 2018 the Association voted to support Scott Johnson's new proposal to reconfigure the project from 9 units with zero affordability to a project with 23 units and 4 affordable units including two creative use work / live spaces. This change addressed the two major concerns that initiated BNA previous refusal to support Scott's proposal. In short the project now provided both the affordable housing community benefit and artist live work space.

We are aware that the project is far from perfect. A strong minority of members at the July BNA meeting and many others in the JP community feel that the AMI levels on the project should be lower and that the 20% affordable percentage should be reflected in 5 units instead of the proposed 4 units. They are not wrong and the BNA's support for this project is not a contradiction of their positions. It is rather a recognition that this developer reconfigured his proposed 9 unit project, designed at 9 units to specifically avoid Boston's affordability requirements, into a project that now meets the Plan JP Rox affordable guidelines and provides some support for the embattled JP Arts community.

Thank's for all your help!

Sincerely,
Scott Shear
Brookside Neighborhood Association
Dear Director Golden,

We undersigned renters of the Green Street station area, near the site of Cedar Hill Residential LLC’s proposed 23-unit residential building at 121-123 Brookside Ave., respectfully request that you consider the comments below regarding the project.

Cedar Hill Residential directly displaced working artists and small businesses (some owned by people of color) at 120 Brookside last year as part of redeveloping that parcel into a 9-unit condominium complex.

We believe that development should not cause displacement, and also that new developments should be accessible to our community.

In this context, we request that Cedar Hill’s project at 121-123 Brookside:

1) Include some or all rental units.

The current proposal, in which there are 4 units deed-restricted as artist condominiums at 80% AMI, would not result in a building that’s financially accessible to most of the remaining artists in the immediate area (who are at risk of displacement and earn less than 60k/year). Furthermore, a recent survey of Certified Artists in Boston by the City’s Office of Arts and Culture shows that 89% of Certified Artists make less than $60,000/year as their individual income (i.e. they would not qualify for Cedar Hill’s proposed artist condos). In a rental scenario, Section 8 voucher holders would at least be able to combine the mobile subsidy with the deed-restriction, making the housing more accessible to the actual incomes of our historically redlined neighborhood.

2) Align with the affordable housing requirements as outlined in Plan JP/Rox, i.e. 5 Units.

We very much appreciate and see the value of having 2 of the deed-restricted artist units be larger in square footage, and we also believe that a fair development on this parcel will include 5 deed-restricted units per our neighborhood’s master plan.

For these reasons, we ask that Cedar Hill and the BPDA explore these small but meaningful changes to the proposal for 121-123 Brookside Ave.

Signed,

Benji Mauer, Green St.
Alexandria Petteruti, Green St.
Brendan Wood, Green St.
Amy Arrington, Green St.
Helen Matthews, Green St.
Suzanne Sobert, Green St.
February 28, 2019

Aisling Kerr  
Project Manager  
Boston Planning & Development Agency

RE: 121 Brookside Avenue, Jamaica Plain

Dear Ms. Kerr,

The current design of 121 Brookside Ave. does not meet the guidelines outlined in Plan JP/Rox in two significant ways: setbacks appropriate for residential development and affordability. This is a case of the developer picking and choosing which elements of Plan JP/Rox he wants to follow, while discarding others. He is applying for increased height and density, while not applying the required setbacks and affordability.

This is a residential building and should follow residential setbacks. The current design does not come close to meeting these setbacks on the front, one side, and rear. This is particularly important along the front, where the main entrance and main sidewalk are located. Plan JP/Rox states, “Front yard setbacks serve different functions for different uses and locations. In residential areas, setbacks provide areas for landscaping and buffer those residential uses, especially at the ground level, from street activities.” (p. 138). Honoring the residential setbacks, particularly in the front, helps this property match the front yard setbacks that are further down the block, where 1-, 2-, and 3- family homes typically have a fence and front yard.

These setbacks are important to future building in the surrounding parcels. Future buildings should not be crowded by this project; residential setbacks allow future residential buildings to have adequate light and space.

The affordability offered by this project does not meet the affordability goals and intent of Plan JP/Rox. The project currently offers 4 affordable units, instead of 5, claiming the extra space in the two affordable artist live-work units counts for more. While we are glad to see some artist live-work space in the project, we do not believe that should cost the community an extra unit of affordable housing.

The 80-100% AMI for the affordable units is not consistent with Plan JP/Rox. The overall goal in Plan JP/Rox is for an average AMI of 50%. While we support the opportunity provided here for home ownership, and understand a rental AMI can be lower than a home ownership AMI, we feel the higher AMI of 80-100% is out of scale with the anti-displacement goals of Plan JP/Rox and is beyond the reach of many in the neighborhood.

In addition to these concerns, we would also like to see the following changes to the design:

- Provide a drop-off/delivery space; this is increasingly important as less parking is provided
Provide a common area inside the building for residents; this is important to building community within the project.

We support the change of use from light industrial to residential. We are also glad to see the large number of 1-bedroom units and larger studio lofts – this may be appealing to single people and couples who don’t want to buy extra space.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Royce, Olmstead Street, Jamaica Plain
Susan Pranger, Chilcott Place, Jamaica Plain

Cc:
Alexandra Valdez, Office of Neighborhood Services
Councilor O’Malley
Rep. Malia
Sen. Chang-Diaz