ROOM 1 - HOUSING

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:
1. What levels of affordability should be targeted?
2. What should the mix of ownership to rental units be?
3. What types of housing should be included? (ex. compact, senior/supportive, student, etc.)

Affordable housing is needed, site has challenges (especially along N Rutherford, hard to cross). Really important to integrate site into BHCC development, incorporate ADA access to grocery store, prevent the site from being an island.

Townhouses/rowhouses with more paths to homeownership. There needs to be more pathways to ownership for young people who've grown up here and are being priced out to the suburbs! Less condos and apts where there's less room to grow or grow a family.

QUESTION 1 - levels of affordability
We want play fields, open space. But if it is housing, it should be about building equity. Trend of real wages to rent isn't tracking, people may end up getting priced out of 'affordability.'

We have a small shopping area, no laundromat. Is it only going to be affordable housing? It's not fair to put in more affordable housing, there's already a lot here when Charlestown already has the most % per acre. It would be best if the housing was available for purchase + to build equity.

Less dense. Please not another high-rise like the other parts of Gilmore.
53% of Charlestown housing units are renter-occupied compared to

65% of Boston housing units are renter-occupied
ROOM 1 - HOUSING

Responses to similar questions from the PLAN: Charlestown Draft Scenarios Land Use Survey, below.

What should the ratio of Rental to Ownership be in new Charlestown housing developments? (91 Responses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio of Rental to Ownership</th>
<th>Number of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Much more rental than ownership</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly less rental than ownership</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal amounts of rental and ownership</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly less rental than ownership</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Much less rental than ownership</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What level of housing affordability should be prioritized for new Charlestown developments? (61 Responses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Affordability</th>
<th>Number of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deeply affordable, workforce, and market-rate</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deeply affordable only</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce only</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market-rate only</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both deeply affordable and workforce</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both deeply affordable and market-rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both workforce and market-rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An equal amount of deeply affordable, workforce, and market-rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ROOM 2 - GROUND FLOOR ACTIVATION / RETAIL

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:
1. What neighborhood services should be accommodated here?
2. What kinds retail/restaurants would you like to see accommodated here?
3. What kinds of community spaces would your neighborhood benefit from here?
- This space should definitely have community space (addressing food insecurities)
- Let non-profits respond and speak first
- Make a public-private partnership, offer services
- During inclement weather, you cannot use the fields
- There are no spaces for young adults
- Rock climbing, basketball, rollerrink
- Other components, such as open use may take a lead on the design of space
- Community space is a real need, and this space seems like a logical location for it
- Meeting space even if small scale, that is accessible to various groups/needs
- Small scale retail
- Intersection is a logical location for retail, esp. across the retail located diagonally
- Retail should be critical mass, with a handful of operators, not just coffee shops
- Complimentary retail within the space
- Food/beverage space
- Neighborhood services - such as dry cleaner
- Improving intersection as a nice urban boulevard
ROOM 3 - OPEN SPACE

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:
1. Who should the open space be for? (ex. Dogs, children, college students, seniors?)
3. How would you like to see climate resilience incorporated?

Need more open space in general. Soccer + Lacrosse fields needed! And a separate dog only area. - Debbie

Concerned that there might not be City or State resources to maintain parks here

Need more space for sportsfields! Maxed out right now. Soccer and Lacrosse especially. Not all 6 acres needs to be parks - Allen

Open to all ages!

Trees here to eliminate heat island!

Could housing be addressed on other parcels?

Public Commons? Performing Arts Space?

Site needs to connect to the rest of Charlestown!

Need to fundamentally address community open space needs here

Approximate size of a full size soccer field, for reference

Do you have ideas? Draw them on this map!
Map of Open Space Network in Walking Distance from the Site
ROOM 4 - MOBILITY

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:
1. Where should people access the site and how should they move around it?
2. What kinds of transportation infrastructure should be prioritized on the site?
3. How should the site tie into the city's larger transportation network?

- Land Swap? w/ 275 medford street?
- Gilmore Bridge connection
- New ped & bike pathway from Cambridge St to Millers River walkway
- Multi-modal connection to Community College Station. Bikes, walking, passenger vehicle drop-off.
- Connecting and making a parallel street to the college (north)
- One or two access points?
- Dedicated Bus Lane with stops that connect directly to developments at the Austin Street Lots.
- Open up vie duct across Rutherford for linking the lots to the community & open dual directions options enter and leaving the lots by car as well.

Do you have ideas? Draw them on this map!