Diag del

Boston Planning & Development Agency One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely

Resident at

Resident in Mission Hill for

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

47 years Old

Sincerely

Resident at

Resident in Mission Hill for

frog 16 oly

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues
  related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

Butter PLY Coulie N- Abd LRAHMAN 14/20 Tremont

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

Resident in Mission Hill for

Solimand 722 Huntington Mission Hill

Jub 16 gars

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

3-7-18

Omid AFShari 32 worthinstor

Jub 16 7018

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

Emily Mott.

11 UNITS
1568 TREMENTSU Resident in Mission Hill for

5 MCS

Jels 20 2018

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

DARAS

Liquore

M50 Huntylon Aul

Keb 24 2018

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

TREMONT HOWE OF PIZZA

Chrcs

1590 Iremost Street

MARCH 6 2018

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

Sofia Soches marzanes 824 Humbryton Ave 7M Years in MH.

MARCH 6 2018

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

Englem Cole Churche Food

M26 Hulghen Rue

02115

Jel 18 2018

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden.

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

Vueldingtone Tamen. 1592 Tremont St Boshow

cheffoltan' OL120

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden.

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

714 Hulhyteor Ful-

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

Ty Makel Induson 736 Humbylon Ar

Jul 182018

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden.

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

LAufling Monle
437 Huntyton Ane

Jeh 18 8018

Boston Planning & Development Agency Att. Gary Webster One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden.

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

Halaria Tean 732 Houring ton AVE

Leb 18 0018

Boston Planning & Development Agency One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

9 Burney Street February 20th 2018

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Nick Corrado

& Stockwell

Sincerely,

Resident at

Resident in Mission Hill for

MH

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely

Resident at

805 - Quntington the flat #609

Resident in Mission Hill for

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

Justin J Ransington esident at 24 Lawn Greet.

Resident in Mission Hill for

Rovbury Crossing

4421.

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Bonilla

Resident at # 805

A.

Resident in Mission Hill for

M 2

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely, fronties claudio

Resident at

805 HUNTINGTON AUG

Resident in Mission Hill for

50 years

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely, Marquent Llather 805 hum ave.

Resident at

Resident in Mission Hill for

36 years

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely, Juna adams

Resident at

24 STAUSans Rd

Resident in Mission Hill for

for & 4 years

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden.

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

Marie & mchally 835 Huntington are

Resident in Mission Hill for

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden.

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

1562 Tremont Streets Roy bury Crossing

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden,

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

MAMAS Place

Nicky Statharos Mb44 flustrephon Ane

Reg: 9 Burney Street SPRA

Dear Director Golden.

As a Business owner in Mission Hill, I am writing to inform you of my support for the Laneway Project by New Urban Partners, LLC at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill. This project and associated Laneway that will be created will be an exciting addition to the neighborhood.

The project is a short walk to several transit lines, which supports the kind of transit-oriented development our city needs. The project also represents a diversity of housing types, including four affordable units, that will add much needed housing variety to this neighborhood. In addition, we are especially pleased with the following building features:

Apart for creating a new stream of customers to our business it will help our business by it's:

- Centralized trash service will reduce litter, visual clutter, pests, and other various issues related to outdoor storage of waste and recycling on the block.
- Make the area more visual and safe

As a local business we have seen the attention to detail this team has put into other projects in the neighborhood to date. We look forward to the same level of attentiveness for this proposed project. Please inform all necessary parties of our support for this New Urban Partners, LLC development of the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Respectfully,

Monte Wish. OHO Hermanelas 748 Huntuphor

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

007

Hurryhon

Resident in Mission Hill for

50 years

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

805 Dunting toward, #608 Borton, mass 02/15

Allen Jamaier

Resident in Mission Hill for

75 years

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

Septem Sources

dent at 56 Ferri vol Pd, Bata-Mero 02/15

Resident in Mission Hill for

42 YRS

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

Resident in Mission Hill for

51 YRS

rancis S

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

Resident in Mission Hill for

3 pre

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

FAMONN DOYNE

Resident at 8 Burnly Street

Resident in Mission Hill for

34RS

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely.

Scarleth January
Resident at 8 Brung She

Resident in Mission Hill for

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

Resident in Mission Hill for

56 Yes

Boston Planning & Development Agency One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden.

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

Resident in Mission Hill for

42 YRS

Boston Planning & Development Agency One City Hall Square, 9th Floor Boston, MA 02201 gary.j.webster@boston.gov

February 20th 2018

9 Burney Street

Director Golden,

After watching development on Mission Hill over the years, I have become convinced that this developer does commendable work that benefits the neighborhood. From their work opening the Milkweed space on the corner of Burney and Tremont, I know they will take similar care and quality into consideration in their newest proposed project at 9 Burney Street.

Our neighborhood will benefit from another restaurant space, both for food and for job opportunities. The mix of housing units in one building will help create opportunities for individuals and families looking for a range of options that are harder to come by—within a walk to the T no less! An accessible Laneway will make a great addition to the neighborhood, where all can enjoy time outdoors around others of all ages.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of this support for the New Urban Partners Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street in Mission Hill.

Sincerely,

Resident at

" 000

Are lot #607

40211S.

Resident in Mission Hill for

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

I support the Laneway As a senior citizen and resident of Mission Hill, for the past Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

**Yours Sincerely** 

Signed Mike Coyne

**Printed Name** 

Mike Coyne

Address

750 Huntington Ave. # 3

Boston Planning & Development Agency		
Attn Gary Webster		
One City Hall Square, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
Boston, MA 02201		
March 8,	2018	
Dear Director Golden		
As a senior citizen and resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.		
Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which we a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.		
Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.	9	
Yours Sincerely		
<u>Signed</u>		
<u>Printed Name</u>		
Address		

.

Boston Planning & Development Agency
Attn Gary Webster
One City Hall Square, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor
Boston, MA 02201
March 8, 2018
Dear Director Golden
As a senior citizen and resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.
Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.
Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.
Yours Sincerely
Signed
<u>Printed Name</u>
<u>Address</u>

,

Boston Planning & Development Agency			
Attn Gary Webster			
One City Hall Square, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor			
Boston, MA 02201			
	March 8, 2018		
Dear Director Golden			
As a senior citizen and resident of Mission Hill, for the past Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positiv neighborhood.	I support the Laneway e energy to our		
Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.			
Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.	the Laneway Project at 9		
Yours Sincerely			
Signed			
<u>Printed Name</u>			
<u>Address</u>			

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of all ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Address

Signed William Dullwan

Printed Name William Sullivan

835 Huntington Ave #1510 Boston, MA. 02115

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of all ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed Delfina Renera

Printed Name Delfina Rivera

101 Dale St. Roxbury.

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past 30 I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of all ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Moura Wegron Signed

Printed Name Mania Negron

<u>Address</u>

835 Hunting Hon Ave Apt 805 Boston, MA 02115

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

70 As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of all ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed Marie Martin

Printed Name Marie Martin

Address 53 Featrood Rd.

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of all ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Olea Dis

Address 69 MC Greevey way #210 Roxbury ma 02120

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

3 O I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of all ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed Ana Maders

<u>Address</u>

11 New Whitney St. Apt. 514 Boston, MADDIIS

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past

Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of all ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed Angela Romero

Printed Name ANGELA ROMLAO

Address 835 Huntington one.

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of all ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed Setty Regran

Printed Name Betty Nagran

835 Hurtzton Ave.

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past TO I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed Such Hamp

Printed Name SARAH HARAS

Address & 35 Homtington Aug 602 Doston MAD 02/15

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood. As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed

Printed Name IDA/IA MarRtinoz Idaliam ala

835 Honlington alle apt 309 mission Part

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

25 As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of all ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed Awilda Alicea

Address 835 Huntiporta Ave. 406

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a senior citizen and resident of Mission Hill, for the past

I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

**Yours Sincerely** 

Signed DOYNE atrick

**Printed Name** 

EAMONN PATRICK DOYNE.

<u>Address</u>

8 BUINET St. ROYBUITX 02120

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past

I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney

Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed

Printed Name

Haylex Greenough

**Address** 

24 Darling street BOSTON, MA

02120

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

1 Laneway Project at 9 Burney As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed MM

Printed Name Keith Patricle

Address 133 Calunel St.
Boston, M4 02120

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a senior citizen and resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed

Ryan Doyle

Printed Name

154 CACUMET St.

Address

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

I support the Laneway As a senior citizen and resident of Mission Hill, for the past Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed

Brendan Joseph Murthy
Printed Name

154 Brendan Joseph Murphy

<u>Address</u>

154 CAINMEL St.

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

<u>Signed</u>

Printed Name

<u>Address</u>

154 Calumet St.

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past Lyers I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Printed Name
Rodrey Pinkhow

Address
154 Calmet Street

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past

I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney

Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed

Printed Name

Leonard T. Lilliston ter

Il The the

**Address** 

180 Hillside St Apt 4 Roxbury, MA 02120

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past

I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney

Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed

Printed Name

Was A PATRICIC

Address

133 CAMMET St MH 02120

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Andrew Ciallella Yours Sincerely

Signed

Printed Name

Andrew Ciallella

32 Fisher Ave Roxbry Crossing OZIZa

Attn Gary Webster

One City Hall Square, 9th Floor

Boston, MA 02201

March 8, 2018

Dear Director Golden

As a resident of Mission Hill, for the past Year I support the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street. It is futuristic and will bring a great deal of positive energy to our neighborhood.

Our neighborhood will benefit from the mix of housing units, the open air restaurant space which will be a great place for people of ages to enjoy time outdoors. Mission Hill needs outdoor space like this; it will greatly enhance the quality of life for many on Mission Hill.

Please accept my support and inform all necessary parties of my support for the Laneway Project at 9 Burney Street, by New Urban Partners.

Yours Sincerely

Signed

Printed Namé

Julia Marlin

Address

24 Darling St. #2 Boston, MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Einder Pora Walling

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

ELLEN MOORE 12 WORTHINGTON ST

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

CANDICE BELANCEF 104 Calumet St #3

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

  Cod

Sincerely yours

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Mort Ahma difar

6 Sachem 57

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle

Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Satricia & Flaherty, 122 Calumet Street, Unit /

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Hackburn

Sincerely yours

David M Wilhelmi 30 Lawn St \$2 Par V. MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty, Michael Flaherty, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty, Michael Fl Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Rechard Geordono 129 Fisher and Rogberry, MAO2120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty, Ayanna Pressley, Ayanna P

Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code. EUZA:3274 COMMERKOR

Sincerely yours

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Melanie Mathews

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely

Felix Mathews, 14 Worthington

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Tama tooks
14 workington

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -lt is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

David M. Currar 63 Pontiac St. Boston man

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

MayElling St. Mission Hill. m A 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

marjard B. Bell 58 Pontise H Ry. ma 02120-3334

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

J 75 PONTICIC ST #2

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Marier 5- Mitiz Baston, MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Reau C. M. hunne 75 Portine St. Rox. Cr. Ma DE12 U

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

ROXBURY, MA. 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty, Michael Flaherty, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty, Michael Flah Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Luanne witewski, Parker Hill flor Mission Hill

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Michael Mc Namara 75 Pontiae Street

3/31/18

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

CHRISTSPHAN KADIN

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

- Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.
- -lt is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Lakeshe Melullin

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide-no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Martin Beinborn

& Alleghany St, Mission Hill

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Eyomas and ymailian

5. Insince 3 54 Da Carl

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Jun 50 Pentiace St Boiston, MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

cha Beindom 88 Alleghany

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Sillingh

88 Alleghany Street, Boston, MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael

Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

86 Alleghany St

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -it is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

86 Alleghany St Mission Hill

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

86 Alleghany St Boston MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle

Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Evseny Kiner 86 Alleshany St Boston MA 02120

Cc. Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty, Annis Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty, Michael Flaherty, Michael Flaherty, Michael Flaherty, Michael Flaherty, Mich Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Übers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Alexander Mann
Rh Alleghany St, Boston MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Glephen Cole 12 Iroquois St, Mission Hill

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

stephanie (the

Sincerely yours

12 Iroquois St. Mission Hill 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Borly Call Boston MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Boston MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Hung Miller, Many 190 Alleghany Boston, MA 62120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Flaherty

Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

12 Cherokee II.

Michael Herlihy

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

ours Elizabeth Wanzo 37 CAlumet St Art 2 floxbory in 02/20

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

I homas St. Cyr 8 Cherokee St.

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Mra Monumo Canal

Dra Moreno Cargie 24 Sachem St, Boston MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

ROSEMARIE TAYAG 82 ALLEGHANY ST. ROXBURY, MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

REGINA WILLIAMS
82 ALLEGHANY ST.
ROXBURY, MA 02120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

RITA TAYAG 82 ALGEGHANY ST. ROXBURY, MAD2120

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

55 Pontias St

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michelle Wil

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Intaris Images 55 Pontiac St.

Cc. Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Mitabell Helton 59 Troquois St.

Sincerely yours

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing unitaries on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

210 PARKER HILL AVE. BOSTON MA 02120

Danica Grujic

Cc: Brian Golden, Josh Zakim, Jeffrey Sanchez, Michael Flaherty, Annissa Essaibi George, Ayanna Pressley, Michael Wu

Dear Mayor Walsh:

Please support Mission Hill's opposition to the proposed 9 Burney Street development.

- -It is much too tall and massive, and would set a dangerous precedent for large commercial buildings on the narrow residential streets of Mission Hill. The proposed building is almost twice the height allowed by code.
- -It is a luxury rental. The developer will not consider having any units be sold as condos, even though neighbors have been very vocal in expressing the need for owner occupancy to stabilize our community. He admits that he will support his extremely high rents by leasing some units as corporate short-stays. None of this supports your goals for housing.
- -The "Laneway," a concept for shared open space for the proposed restaurants, will not work in such a small area. One end of the space is only five feet wide—no outdoor seating can reasonably be placed there; and nowhere is the space wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol could be served outdoors. To be used in the way the developer has suggested, the footprint of the new building would have to shrink considerably to provide a larger outdoor space.
- -The building which currently exists on the site has historic significance, and the developer, while he says he would be willing to have it moved, has not made a sincere effort to do so. Instead of complementing the residential character of the street, a residential structure will be razed, and the new building will dwarf the remaining homes on Burney Street.
- -The proposal is deceptive: the developer has filed as a small project, yet in his presentation to the community, he has stressed that the new building is part of a major renovation of the existing buildings on the abutting block of Tremont Street. When asked about the large size of the new building, he says it must be that big in order to be profitable, because of the associated costs of renovating the related Tremont properties. This suggests that the entire project should fall under large project review, and that affordable units should be required.
- -The plans for parking, deliveries and trash removal are impractical. The plan calls for one small interior trash room, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. The plan for parking is also unreasonable: only six parking spaces and insufficient bike storage will create parking issues on adjacent streets. There seems to be no plan in place to accommodate Ubers, taxis, UPS trucks, or visitors, all of which will cause traffic problems on narrow Burney Street.

As the project stands, it does not deserve to be considered. The BPDA should enforce current zoning restrictions. We have these further requests for the staff of BPDA:

- -We ask that a zoning analysis be conducted: the developer claims that he cannot build a smaller structure because of the costs associated with upgrading all of his abutting properties on Tremont Street, and stresses that the new building will be part of a "complex." If this is the case, the project should be classified and reviewed as a large project.
- -We ask that the developer share his financial information, to back up his claim that a structure which conforms to current zoning would not be profitable. Just as developers are required to conduct wind, shadow, and traffic studies, we believe that financial information should be shared as part of the approval process when requesting variances from the zoning code.

Sincerely yours

Ellen Mashi 79 IROGUDIS ST Boston. Ma 02120

# We stand with neighbors and abutters in opposing an oversized development at 9-11 Burney Street. We ask the BPDA to prevent this concerning precedent, thereby protecting the residential neighborhoods in Mission Hill.

#### Principal objections:

- The proposed 6-story building will dwarf existing homes on Burney St., with a height that is almost twice the allowable limit by zoning code.
- A precedent will be set by inserting a business facility in a protected residential area.
- A quiet side street will be congested by street parking and ride-hailing business and private car traffic.
- This project will open the floodgates for other developers to take over Burney St., resulting in large buildings, excessive density, and loss of residential character.

### Other major concerns:

- Instead of complementing and enhancing the residential triple decker landscape on Burney St, an existing historical building will be razed to expand the footprint of the new development.
- Claimed benefits to the community are misleading: A narrow side alley is portrayed as a multipurpose public/private "laneway", but will not work in such a small area. One end of the space appears to be very narrow. And it does not appear the space is wide enough to accommodate a roped area so that alcohol can be served outdoors. The plan calls for three interior trash rooms, which will service the new building as well as all the buildings in the adjacent Tremont Street block. This does not look feasible as trash will be transported across this public "Laneway".
- The building is catered to high-end private and corporate rentals, but does not provide home ownership opportunities to attract long-term community stakeholders.
- The proposed new construction is linked to significant modifications of existing buildings along Tremont Street, yet integration of the latter component into the overall project is not sufficiently disclosed. There may be additional requirements for public review, including the number of affordable units and potential rent increases.
- It is claimed that building within the framework of the Mission Hill zoning code would not be economically feasible, an alleged hardship that has not been substantiated by any numbers from the developer.

Signed by abutters and neighbors: Jim Farrow, Daniel Junkins, Jana Scholten, Jeannine Barry, Ellen Moore, Martin Beinborn, Alison Pultinas, Walter Crump, Shahla Crump, Mary Ann Nelson, Gary Walling, Cindy Walling, Elizabeth Whitin, Itsuo Kiritani, Betty Commerford, Jack Vaughan, Jim Laughlin, Charles Carew

## Mission Hill Neighbørs & Friends Petition opposing the construction of 9-11 Burney Street project. (see petition above)

Name	email	Address
2050 Neehan		53 DelleAUA
Adrian McCullagh		18 Burney Street
Mark Hennen		12 Gamelie *3
Bridget Hennen		12 carme 15/7/3 12 carmet
LAHSleen T. HARRIS	5	1/2 Carmel ST ROX Ma
Dok Prysotte		18cormel#3
Diniz Boyn		in 8 comerst #2
Nicholas Gokey		S Cornel St #Z
J. h. Ngiyer		10 coppel St #1
Alessando Couchere		ed 578 Huntigton Ave
CALES GIME		401 21 6 CH24E 5-
Laura Kane		edu 51 delle ave #3
Sam Ispac		n 52 Delle Ave
Nico Pionisotti		15 burney st
Patrick Kellicker		3 (urnel St
Common Whittle		18 Burney, Apt. 4
Luca Greco		Cum 1548 Trement #2

Neighbers + Mission Hill Abutters Petition opposing the construction of 9-11 Burney Street project.

Name	email	Address	_
Flizabeth Goodmun		1550 tremon St.	
Max Batty	)	170 St. Alphansus S	
august Poshwal			
Lagush Pokharel		edu LYUSTALP	houses
Brendan Aprily		4 101 (allower St.	
Angen Growiss	<u> </u>	1 136 Call Most 83	
Karen Anas		170 Saint Alphonsi	
TREVOR TAYLOR		172 stint of phonous	
Gianna Brimlow		Uffolk.edu 1745	A Alphanous
Camila Simons		2com 176 St. A.	t Alphorous lehousus
Spencer Vogel		8 Carme	i St.

## Weighbors \* Mission Hill Abutters Petition opposing the construction of 9-11 Burney Street project.

Name	email	Address
Val Steiner		HZ Sand Alphasus st
(Val steiner)		
(Clara Memc)		St. Alphonsus St.
(Clara Memc)		1
TESS MORRE		130 Calumet St
Emily Sheridan		130 calumet
Christina Darak		130 calumet st
Cirace cho		70 calumet st
Alec Nicotra		To calumet st
Allison Donohue		edu 205 Courtney

### We object to the construction of 9-11 Burney Street project.

Name email Address Jacob Gibian 1 Commelsi 30 Jule TAGPARKER ST. 126 PARKERST. 124 BARKER ST Elegioth Kanada THI Parace 739 Pantery TOPPY Patry 103 Avdale St. Lulmarie Gonzalez 35 Dullete

41816

## Mission Hill Petition opposing the construction of 9-11 Burney Street project.

	<b>N</b> 7	• #	4 7 7
	Name	email	Address
	In annie martin		47A HIKCSIDE ST.
	Cot V Kelen		3 T Cresien + st.
	Allan Shelper		11 Rysell St former Delle Aux Little An. 14x1 Resident
4/19/18	Roby n Buren		36 DeMe AVE #1 Robbury Crossing, MA
	Mult Buner		Robbing Crossiy, MA 16 Felle Ave, L 20xboren Xing, MA
4/8	JAMISE GUERRIERO		101 Vogel St, WR
4/8	Ander Sally	-	19 Liftle Fre Du, Sc
	gar usa tires	-	Brookhui.
	Kert Cardinas	-	J. P.
	DEREY SIMPON	-	MALLON QUINCT MA
	CHRISTOPHER OFFICEHIN	_	115 Farquhor Roslindole.
•	Cithur Cerses	_	Hinghan MA 02043
	Alexandra Teniuch		mail Rexpury Cressing 147
	Duris Disilve		15 courses 24 print /
	Fogor Dominich	N	mail.com 17 cornel Apt 2
	Luis Wisantian		)
	Donar Lores		11 Carend St.

### Mission Hill Petition opposing the construction of 9-11 Burney Street project.

	Name	email	Address
	Katiemeterier	n control	m 97 Hooper Rd Dedham
	JAMES PERM	1	150 DEVINE Namuous
	Edgar Tevins	4	eo Knigoboro PK. J.P.
	Lindac Bellantoni		grail Com Acton 01718
	KOBERTL BRITT	The state of the s	550, HUNTINGON
	Thomas hedbetter	The state of the s	23 RosLINDAL FAIRE ROS
	John Negan	10 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	m 16 Ravenna Rd. West Rox
,	Jan Bilu		1.com JP, MA 02130
	Deg Everback		madow VB40263
			acon.
	a Lew town	A control of the cont	gmail em
4/7/18	Jim Mach		con 26 Delle #1 -
	John F. Kredsler		135 Parlar 87
-	My Loam		30 Delle Avez
	Andrew Icravel	C	com 29 Delle Ave
	SisephSheehan		53Delle AUE
4/8/16	Jan Coffrex	i e	11 Carmel SE
	Gavin Maker	C	11 carnel St
	Michael Malone		ede 11 Cornel St.