Hyde Park Advisory Group Meeting - Rezoning 09.27.11 (6:30-8:30pm)

Marie: The reason we're here is to ensure the accurate translation of the

neighborhood plan into the zoning code – uses, dimensions,

parking, urban design

Updating certain areas to allow for contemporary uses – industrial

updates, none in residential

Ted: This is the same information as is in the strategic plan

M: 5 NDOD areas, new construction subject to BRA+BLC review

T "Historic District Light"

AG Member: I think the BRA has been enforcing this passively for years

I had to go through this whole process – it was nice to have

T: Subdistrict maps taped up around the room – this is essentially the

same map that was in the plan except this one is for the lawyers

AG Member: I was interested in Logan Square's designation as an Urban Plaza

(OS-UP)

AG Member: Xavier was going to come to discuss a cultural overlay for theaters,

etc.

T: Overlay to enhance cultural assets – we're working with Jay on

this. It's a state process

AG Member: Jay said he would do all the running around for this

AG Member: I think the language in the plan represents what we're talking about AG member: Has there been a response from the community/BRA board to the

plan?

M: I told them a story they appreciated – they didn't have any

questions

T: Public hearings haven't taken place yet – the approval of the plan

happens through the BRA board

AG Member: I'm concerned with local industrial

M: Should we consider making all local industrial uses conditional? AG Member: At HPA/MarginGrantley LI District – across from the school.

There are always trucks rolling through on Hyde Park Ave., what

about asthma and the health of families in the area with all of those

emissions?

T: Existing uses are grandfathered in and we have to make sure we're

not getting in the way of the types of uses we like

Community 1: Any stipulations in the code with respect to proximity of storefront

churches, day cares, or halfway houses?

M: We will look into it to ensure legality

Comm1: It used to be the community could vote on school/church locations M: We can be more restrictive with these uses – we've heard daycares

we can be more restrictive with these uses – we ve heard dayca

are a problem

Comm1: These are businesses in a residential neighborhood

M: We can make these allowed uses conditional

AG member: I want to make sure there are feedback opportunities for

conditional uses

T: Conditional uses should be used sparingly – they can deter

businesses

M: We're looking for the property owner at the lake/pond marked CPS

AG Member: I'll let you know who the property owner is

AG Member: P31, Table A – Health Care uses. I'm surprised by the F for the

whole thing

M: P33, we have to allow group homes for mentally handicapped

T: Artist housing as conditional use in Industrial

Comm1: What are the restrictions for dry cleaning chemicals? I'm

concerned with what's coming out of those places

Comm 2: I'm disturbed by what's not in here. I don't see what goes in NS1-

2. We were told this was mixed use development. I see it doesn't

have to be mixed use – it could be all residential

T: The councilor raised this concern. We will follow up and make

sure ground floor residential is conditional in commercial districts

Comm 2: We want to have definitely mixed use. That's why 40' was pushed

through.

M: That's what we want to see at the BRA too and I understand your

concern with the clarification of groundfloor commercial

Comm 3: I see pharmaceuticals – is that just distribution or testing too?

M: Level 1,2 research labs ok, not 3 or 4

Comm 3: There have been a lot of accidents in the South End

T: That's a forbidden use

Comm 4: Anything about hours of operation?

M: We can regulate restaurants and liquor stores typically

Comm 2: I have an off-street parking question. I'm concerned about large

project review. Anyone under LPR doesn't have to abide by offstreet parking requirements? We know what's needed and I'm concerned someone can bypass the process with the BRA...

Comm 5: At Stonybrook and Georgetown parking is a real problem – people

living there don't want to sign in and don't want to pay for parking

Comm1: I'm still confused about parking downtown. 1.5 spaces doesn't

cover 2 cars/family. The community was ignored.

Comm 2: I'm seeing affordable housing units don't have to provide a spot

per unit. Why only .7? They reality is they'll have at least one car.

Comm 6: People from Georgetown are causing traffic issues and parking

where they shouldn't

T: The point of this meeting is to translate the plan into code. We can

reflect concerns about affordable housing parking in the code

Comm1: Everything should be 2

Comm 4: There's ample parking in Georgetown – they just don't want to pay

or they're not on the lease

M: We can ensure that affordable units comply with the rest

Comm 4: I'm concerned with the multiple LI districts. I see it as a slippery slope –

why are some special?

Comm7: I was hoping for some clarification on artists housing. Do artists have to

meet some requirements?

T: Artist's housing not allowed anywhere as of right

M: Are we ready to go to the community or do we need another more intimate

meeting?

Comm 2: I think we would all like another small meeting.