MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING

OF THE BOSTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

HELD ON FEB. 15, 1961

The Members of the Boston Redevelopment Authority met in regular session at the offices of the Authority, Room 350, 73 Tremont Street, Boston, 9:30

Massachusets, atitoOOa.m. on Feb. 15, 1961

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, and upon roll call those present and absent were as follows:

Present Absent

Joseph W. Lund Msgr. F. J. Lally
James G. Colbert Melvin J. Massucco

Stephen. E. McCloskey

A copy of the NOTICE OF MEETING, pursuant to Section 23A of Chapter 39 of the General Laws, with the CERTIFICATE AS TO SERVICE OF NOTICE OF MEETING attached thereto, was read and ordered spread upon the minutes of this meeting and filed for record.

NOTICE OF MEETING

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Section 23A of Chapter 39 of the General Laws that a meeting of the Boston Redevelopment Authority will be held at not o'clock a.m. on Feb. 15, 1961 at 73 Tremont Street in the City of Boston.

| | | evelopment uc | AUTHORITY |
|------------------|--------|------------------|-----------|
| February 9, 1961 | Title: | _Secretary | |

CERTIFICATE AS TO SERVICE OF NOTICE OF MEETING (Sec. 23A, Chapter 39, General Laws)

I, Kane Simonian, the duly appointed, qualified and acting Secretary of the Boston Redevelopment Authority, do hereby certify that on Feb. 9, 1961 I filed, in the manner provided by Sec. 23A, Chapter 39, General Laws, with the City Clerk of the City of Boston, Massachusetts, a NOTICE OF MEETING of which the foregoing is a true and correct copy.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of said Authority this 15th. day of February, 1961

Secretary

LS

The minutes of the meeting of February 8, 1961 were read by the Secretary. On motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously

VOTED: to approve the minutes as read.

Messrs. Logue, Graham and Conley were in attendance.

On motion made by Mr. Colbert and seconded by Mr. McCloskey, it was unanimously

VOTED: that the Boston Redevelopment Authority engage the law firm of Badger, Parrish, Sullivan and Frederick and the law firm of Lyne, Woodworth & Evarts to represent the Authority in the case brought in the Supreme Judicial Court by Kane Simonian, and that they work jointly in handling the case for the Redevelopment Authority;

that the above law firms be informed that it is the desire of the Redevelopment Authority that a Supreme Court ruling be obtained as expeditiously as possible as to the legality of the actions taken by the Redevelopment Authority on January 25, 1961, which are referred to in the petition filed in the Supreme Court by Mr. Simonian;

that in the interest of obtaining a speedy ruling by the Supreme Court, the Redevelopment Authority stipulates that the facts stated in Mr. Simonian's petition, including the by laws of the Redevelopment Authority and the actions voted by the Redevelopment Authority, are accurate.

A copy of this vote is to be delivered to the law firm of

Badger, Parrish, Sullivan axid Frederick and to the law firm of Lyne, Woodworth&tEvarts.

The Executive Director was instructed to prepare a chart for the '...'. | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '... | '...

Letters from Charles River Park, Inc. dated January 4, 1961 and February 10, 1961 were distributed.

On motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously

VOTED: that the Executive Director be authorized to negotiate with Adams, Howard and Greeley as consultants for the purpose of reviewing the proposed changes requested by Charles River Park, Inc. in the Redevelopment Plan for the West End.

On motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously

The minutes of the meeting of February 8, 1961 were read by the Secretary. On motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously

VOTED: to approve the minutes as read.

Messrs. Logue, Graham and Conley were in attendance.

On motion made by Mr. Colbert and seconded by Mr. McCloskey, it was unanimously

VOTED: that the Boston Redevelopment Authority engage the law firm of Badger, Parrish, Sullivan and Frederick and the law firm of Lyne, Woodworth & Evarts to represent the Authority in the case brought in the Supreme Judicial Court by Kane Simonian, and that they work jointly in handling the case for the Redevelopment Authority;

that the above law firms be informed that it is the desire of the Redevelopment Authority that a Supreme Court ruling be obtained as expeditiously as possible as to the legality of the actions taken by the Redevelopment Authority on January 25, 1961, which are referred to in the petition filed in the Supreme Court by Mr. Simonian;

that in the interest of obtaining a speedy ruling by the Supreme Court, the Redevelopment Authority stipulates that the facts stated in Mr. Simonian¹ s petition, including the By Laws of the Redevelopment Authority and the actions voted by the Redevelopment Authority, are accurate.

A copy of this vote is to be delivered to the law firm of Badger, Parrish, Sullivan and Frederick and to the law firm of Lyne, Woodworth & Evarts.

The Executive Director was instructed to review the insurance coverage for the Authority and to recommend additional coverage as needed for the expanded program and to prepare a chart showing the cost of short rate cancellation for the insurance coverage now in existence.

Letters from Charles River Park, Inc. dated January 4, 1961 and February 10, 1961 were distributed.

On motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously

VOTED: that the Executive Director be authorized to negotiate with Adams, Howard and Greeley as consultants for the purpose of reviewing the proposed changes requested by Charles River Park, Inc. in the Redevelopment Plan for the West End.

On motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously

VOTED: to revise the minutes of February 1, 1961 with respect to the transcript of the meetings in order to provide for a copy of the transcript to the Development Administrator upon request.

A copy of a memo from the Real Estate Officer, Robert E. McGovern, was distributed.

On the recommendation of the Real Estate Officer and on motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously

VOTED: to approve a maximum option price for Parcel 9B-7, Whitney Project, in the amount of \$10,700.

On motion duly made and seconded, it was unanimously VOTED: to adjourn.

The meeting adjourned at 10:45 a.m.

Kaux winian