Mambail's of the Boston; ::ide7^0^-1r-an: Author!;;/ ai their rnastia^ today
wriday, September 25th) voted unanimously to like likel saits totalling \$1 million
against Maurice Cordon o: 400 V. s.\* "3rly /:-,vc., Nev.-tcn, Boston Ee.altaty and Attornay
Joseph B. Abrams of SO Famhirion Square.

The Authority vct^d to file a suit o£ \$5CO<sub>P</sub> 000 against Mr<sub>0</sub> Qorclen and a suit o-£ \$500<sub>?</sub> 000 a^ai;:st Att ornay ^.bra'.r.s <sup>IT</sup>£cr Iibalous<sub>0</sub> malicious,, f^lss<sub>0</sub> unfounded and dsrogaior/ statements oirsct^cl a^ciinst tha rr^3TVj.b3rs o£ the Boston Rad3valo;:n:ent Aiitnoriç/ and inip-ycrnin^ their motives in ccnr;3cHon \vith tha sslaction. of a site for a. proposed \$Z7 iKiliica iTedsral Building to be aarectad as part of the proposed Gcvsrn-Wight Constitution.

Ilia Redevelo-^tTisiit Authority also votsd to dixsct its General Cc-xmsel to engags special courts al ". -to r-spressnt las Ecstrm Redevelopment Authority in tha actions brought by Mr. ME.urica Gordon and Mr- Joseph Abrams and to instituts tha praviously voted action against >:'r- Gordon and Mr, Abrams.

Announcarnsnt o? the saiation of the special counsel who vill work with General Counsel John C, Gonley in representing the Boston Hedevalopment Authority in the suits will be auaounaed imniecliat3ly<sub>0</sub>

Memb-ars of the Redavalopment Authority e:iplr.ined that the other duties present-ly being parforined by General Counsel Coni-ay v/ould not permit him to devote the necessary tires to defending the Authority against the suits brought by Mr, Cordoa and Mr. Abrams,

Tha Members of the Boston Redevelopment Authority are:

Joseph W-, JLund, Chairman; the Very Reverend Francis J<sub>0</sub> Jjally\* Vice Chairman; James G. Colberts Treasurer; Malvin J. Massucco and Stephen E, McClosksy,

t'It is unfortunate that Mr. Gordon for reasons, of his own has endeavored to block the construction of aproposad ?27 million Federal Building in the proposed Government Center area \vMch v/ould mean so r.~uch to the future of Bostcn<sub>r</sub> " ths Redevelopment Authority stated, "It should be obvious to everyone that there cannot be a Government Gentsr development, as it has bean visualise:1, unless a nev/ Federal Buildi,, is a part o£i,.

"Ir, the. course of his efforts to prevent the erection of a Federal Buildingg v/hich would play- a major £arc in the x'evitalization of a sscticxi of intown Boston,, Mr<sub>0</sub> Gordon and his attorney, Mr<sub>0</sub> Abi'ams, have directset allegations against members of the Redevelopment Authority which are mendacious\* unfounded^ reckless and irresponsible a»d which can he regarded only as an attempt to smear the members of the Redevelopment Authority who have been endeavoring to follow a course bsst for Boston and its citizens,

"J.t would be most unfortunate if the erection of a Federal BuJ'ding in downtown Boston or the construction of a Government Center itself were to be jeopardized because of the taclics of Mr, Gordon.

"Mr\* Gordon is the president of a company which owns an office building at 209 Washington Street which would be torn down if it is finally determined to locate the proposed new \$27 million Federal Building in the old Newspaper Row section of Washington. Stx\*eat.

"Statements by Mr« Gordon that the property at 209 Washington Street is <sup>3</sup>one c£ the finest, if not the finest office building in Boston at the present time- are not in accord with other actions which hava been instituted by the company headed by Mr, Gordon which owns that p:coperfcy<sub>0</sub>

"Records? a the city assessing department show that from 1950 through 1955 the property at 299 Washington Street was assessed for \$500, 000 and that in 1950,, 1951<sub>p</sub> 1952<sub>P</sub> 1953<sub>0</sub> 1954 and 1955, an abatement of \$125<sub>B</sub> 000 was granted each year-

"The Wentworth Building Corporation $^{\wedge}$  of which  $Mr_0$  Gordon is presidents acquired ownership of the property in 1955» In I956<sub>B</sub> the following year<sub>3</sub> tha assessment en the property at 209 Washington Street was reduced on the books of the city assessing department from \$500<sub>D</sub> 000 to \$375<sub>B</sub> 000<sub>0</sub>

"Despite that reduction of \$125,000 $_{\rm S}$  the company haadad by Mr, Gordon filed abatement petitions in both 5.956 and 1957 alleging that the property at 209 Washington Street; was over-assassed and that its fu!; value was less than the \$375 $_{\rm S}$  000 assessment placed on it by the city. The abatement petitions in both 1956 and 1957 were rejected,,

POOR ORIGINAL COPY

"The records also sho-.v that In 5,957 an attorney representing the company hsaded by Mr. Gordon filed a statement in the city as sassing department under penalties of perjury that the full value of the property at 209 Washington Street was  $$340_{\rm ft}000$  and not \$375,000 as claimed by the city.

"This is the property which Mr. Gordon stated in a petition filed in court was 'ona of the finest,, if not the finest office building in Boston at the present time<sup>1</sup>.

"The Boston Redevelopment Authority- recognizes that Mr. Gordon or any citizen has a right to disagree with its decisions and actions and, if he chooses, to go into court.

"However, neither Mr. Gordon,, Mr. Abrams<sub>3</sub> nor anyoae else has a right to indulge in untruthful and h'rssponsible allegations which impugn the motives of tho Redevelopment Authority."

end

